

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1246 of 1998

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10280 OF 1998

WITH

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1247 OF 1998

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1279 OF 1998

WITH

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1630 OF 1998

TO

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1648 OF 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

RAJKOT NAGARIK SAHKARI BANK LTD.

Versus

NARENDRAKUMAR HARJIVAN KANABAR

-----

Appearance:

MR A.D.MITHANI for Petitioner

MR AD MITHANI for petitioners

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 30/12/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. In view of the judgement which has been delivered in Civil Revision Application No.1233/98 with cognate matters, I consider it to be appropriate to dispose of all these Civil Revision Applications in terms that it shall be open to the petitioners to approach to the executing court for the review of its order impugned in these Civil Revision Applications. It is expected of the executing court that, in case, such an application is filed by the petitioners, the same shall be decided after hearing the parties, in accordance with law and keeping in view the judgement which has been delivered by this Court in Civil Revision Application [supra]. In case the petitioners files application for the review of the order passed by the executing court, then the same may be decided within one month from the date of filing thereof.

2. Subject to these directions, all these Civil Revision Applications stands disposed of.

Accordingly, Civil Applications filed by the parties in these revision applications are also stand disposed of. It is made clear that the decision of this Court in this Civil Revision Application may not be taken to be as if this Court has confirmed the order impugned in the Civil Revision Application on merits. I am adopting this course in these civil revision applications for the reason, that otherwise the other side will be put to unnecessary heavy expenses of litigations in this Court.

\*\*\*\*\*

parmar\*